

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dop. 140 Advantage Spot www.napto.gov

			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.		ING DATE	Markus Weisbeck	MO-5845/LEA3	6993
09/601,152	C	9/05/2000	Waikus Weisbeek	EXAMINER	
157	7590	01/21/2004		JOHNSON, E	DWARD M
BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1754	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/601.152 WEISBECK ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Edward M. Johnson 1754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_ \_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6,8-11 and 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other:

> SUPERVISORY PATER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1760

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/601,152

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment specifies a would modify the claims to present an additional and potentially imprope Markush group, which would be a new issue requiring further consideration. Applicant also alleges that the proposed amendment would limit the claimed support to "only" titanium oxide and hydrous titanium dioxide, without any further ingredients, which, if true, would also present a new issue requiring further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that Muller et al. teach a method for... Office Action. This is not persuasive because Applicant appears to suggest that the proposed amendment would limit the support to a material consisting 'only' of titanium dioxide or hydrous titanium dioxide. However, the proposed amendment does not contain such a limitation. And, in any case, the proposed amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a catalyst support consisting 'only' of titanium dioxide or hydrous titanium dioxide) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).